

# DRAFT

## Bill of Particulars

The SNU prefers to avoid disciplinary action as it interferes with the purpose of the club: *to enjoy the Great Basin and Eastern Sierra Slope Airstream RV experience with friends*. There are times that some folks make it difficult to achieve this purpose and that presents a question about the best way to avoid their efforts.

The Bill of Particulars listed here are based on WBCCI Trustee actions and behaviors that the SNU considers detrimental to the interests and proper management of the club in achieving the purposes and activities for which the WBCCI and SNU exist. This list avoids naming names and providing the communications upon which the particular complaint is based as it is not a call for disciplinary action but rather a description of *what should not be* in the form that someone might use as a basis to file a grievance or other action if they chose to go to the expense and effort to compile evidence and create a proper presentation for action.

1. Failure to act as a prudent person might expect by engaging in improper demands, harassment, and threats in response to suggestions that would facilitate the WBCCI making true and accurate affirmations in its annual filings supporting its tax exempt status. (cf Ohio Statutes, April letter, and IBT motion proposal sent to members)
2. Acting outside of authority delegated by the IBT and the WBCCI governance documents in matters of subordinate unit governance. The CBL standing committee is delegated this authority, not individual Trustees.
3. Improper assertion of authority: A claim was made regarding supervision with no scope or purpose or governing authority to support it. In regards to matters concerning subordinate governance documents, such supervision is only in regard to fixed requirements as described in the WBCCI Constitution Article XI.
4. Acting outside of defined processes provided in the WBCCI Constitution Article XI. Revocation of a Unit Charter is not a matter of an ad hoc IBT motion used as a threat to attempt to obtain compliance with demands.
5. Improper interference in the internal matters of an organization where the Trustee is not a member. Governance documents are matters internal to an organization to be determined by appropriate deliberations of its members. The WBCCI can only fix requirements (as specified in its Constitution Article XI) that the subordinate organization must consider in its governance documents and supervise as necessary only so much as to assure compliance with those fixed requirements.
6. Willful and knowing dishonesty: An IBT member who participated in the 5 years bylaw review asserted that the bylaws had been rejected. By participating in that 5 year review, he knew that the bylaws had not been rejected and therefore he must have known that his assertion was false.
7. Failure to cooperate with reasonable Unit requests to facilitate its proper management efforts: For instance, requests to use administrative email addresses for a cited purpose were ignored.
8. Responses not becoming a Trustee of the WBCCI. See correspondence record.

With lists like this it is often possible to find items to add when a group starts remembering. The question is about whether such items have significance, can be objectively specified and supported, and provide a path towards improvements.

A list like this should also be compared and contrasted to the communications the SNU has received regarding its bylaws which were the basis for several items in this *Bill of Particulars*.